

## **RESEARCH PURPOSE**

---

This research has been designed, conducted and analyzed by Fact Finders, Inc. for the Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee for the Town of Guilderland. This study was executed to obtain representative and reliable measurements of attitudes of town residents about growth and development in the town.

This research consisted of two phases. The initial phase consisted of a representative random probability sample of 100 households interviewed by telephone. For the second phase of the research, survey questionnaires were mailed to all households in the town of Guilderland.

The findings presented in this report are from the completed telephone survey conducted with town residents, and the findings from 3000 returned mail surveys.

RATING ON OVERALL QUALITY  
OF LIFE IN GUILDERLAND

RATING ON OVERALL QUALITY OF LIFE IN GUILDERLAND	1999 Town Of Guilderland	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Excellent	37.0%	<b>31.7%</b>
Good	55.0%	<b>61.9%</b>
Fair	8.0%	<b>6.1%</b>
Poor	0.0%	<b>0.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(100)	<b>(2,958)</b>

*How would you rate the overall quality of life in the town of Guilderland? Excellent, good, fair or poor? (Question 3)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=2.5$   $p=.4822$  (Not Significant)

LEVEL OF OVERALL QUALITY OF LIFE IN GUILDERLAND  
COMPARED TO OTHER COMMUNITIES

LEVEL OF OVERALL QUALITY OF LIFE IN GUILDERLAND COMPARED TO OTHER COMMUNITIES	1999 Town Of Guilderland	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Better	66.0%	<b>59.8%</b>
The Same	34.0%	<b>37.2%</b>
Worse	0.0%	<b>3.0%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(97)	<b>(2,902)</b>

*Thinking about other communities in the Capital Region, would you say the overall quality of life in the town of Guilderland is better, the same or worse compared to these other communities? (Question 4)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=3.8$   $p=.1491$  (Not Significant)

RATING ON  
VALUE FOR TAX DOLLARS

RATING ON VALUE FOR TAX DOLLARS	1999 Town Of Guilderland	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Excellent	14.3%	<b>14.2%</b>
Good	63.3%	<b>54.1%</b>
Fair	20.4%	<b>25.8%</b>
Poor	2.0%	<b>5.9%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(98)	<b>(2,906)</b>

*How would you rate the value you get for the tax dollars you pay to the town*

*of Guilderland? Excellent, good, fair or poor? (Question 36)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=5.0$   $p=.1714$  (Not Significant)

RATING ON  
PROVIDING SERVICES TO RESIDENTS

RATING ON PROVIDING SERVICES TO RESIDENTS	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Excellent	23.7%	<b>24.1%</b>
Good	59.8%	<b>59.5%</b>
Fair	13.4%	<b>14.1%</b>
Poor	3.1%	<b>2.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(97)	<b>(2,932)</b>

*Next, thinking about the services provided by the town of Guilderland,*

*How would you rate the town of Guilderland at providing services to residents?*

*Excellent, good, fair or poor? (Question 35)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=0.4$   $p=.9479$  (Not Significant)

HOUSEHOLD WATER

HOUSEHOLD WATER	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Town Water	71.1%	<b>72.7%</b>
Your Own Well	17.5%	<b>12.7%</b>
Both	11.3%	<b>14.5%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(97)	<b>(2,960)</b>

*Does your household use town water, your own well or both? (Question 37)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=2.4$   $p=.3064$  (Not Significant)

HOUSEHOLD SEWAGE

HOUSEHOLD SEWAGE	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Town Sewer	76.0%	<b>84.2%</b>
Your Own Septic System	24.0%	<b>15.8%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(96)	<b>(2,957)</b>

*Does your household use the town sewer or your own septic system? (Question 38)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=4.6$   $p=.0311$  (Significant)

YEARS OF RESIDENCE IN  
THE TOWN OF GUILDERLAND

YEARS OF RESIDENCE IN THE TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Five Years or Less	20.0%	<b>18.5%</b>
6 - 15	29.0%	<b>29.2%</b>
16 - 30	27.0%	<b>26.4%</b>
Over 30	24.0%	<b>25.9%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(100)	<b>(2,978)</b>
<b>Number Of Years Of Residence In Guilderland</b>		
<b>Mean:</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Median:</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Range:</b>	<b>Less Than 1 to 77</b>	<b>Less Than 1 to 91</b>

*For how many years have you lived in the town of Guilderland? (Question 1)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=0.3$   $p=.9667$  (Not Significant)

HOME OWNERSHIP

HOME OWNERSHIP	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Own	79.0%	<b>92.1%</b>
Rent	21.0%	<b>7.9%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(100)	<b>(2,965)</b>
<b>Average Years Of Residence In Guilderland:</b>		
<b>Own:</b>		
<b>Rent:</b>	<b>22 Years</b>	<b>21 Years</b>
	<b>12 Years</b>	<b>10 Years</b>

*Do you own or rent your home? (Question 2)*

**HOME OWNERSHIP:**

---

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=22.0$  p=.0000 (Significant)**

---

OVERALL RATE OF GROWTH

OVERALL RATE OF GROWTH	1999 Town Of Guilderland	
	PHONE SURVEY	Mail Survey
Too Fast	49.0%	<b>45.4%</b>
Acceptable	50.0%	<b>50.4%</b>
Too Slow	1.0%	<b>4.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(98)	<b>(2,917)</b>

*In your opinion, has the overall rate of growth in the town of Guilderland been too fast, acceptable or too slow? (Question 5)*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=2.66$      $p=.2648$     (Not Significant)**

**WAYS RATE OF GROWTH HAS BEEN TOO FAST**  
(Subsample: Residents Who Think Guilderland Has Grown Too Fast)

WAYS RATE OF GROWTH HAS BEEN TOO FAST	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Residential Development	47.9%	<b>37.8%</b>
Traffic/Roadways	35.4%	<b>35.7%</b>
Commercial Development	47.9%	<b>31.6%</b>
Crossgates Mall Area	18.8%	<b>13.6%</b>
Environmental Issues/Open Spaces	6.3%	<b>11.1%</b>
Schools/Children	14.6%	<b>6.4%</b>
Planning/Master Plan	8.3%	<b>5.9%</b>
Taxes/Economics	2.1%	<b>3.9%</b>
Pine Bush Area	2.1%	<b>3.3%</b>
Water/Sewer	2.1%	<b>2.8%</b>
Crime	2.1%	<b>1.8%</b>
Sidewalks/Bike Paths	4.2%	<b>0.0%</b>
Other	8.4%	<b>6.2%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(48)	<b>(389)</b>

*In what way has the growth in Guilderland been too fast? (Question 6)*

Note: Columns do not sum to 100% due to multiple responses.

**WAYS RATE OF GROWTH HAS BEEN TOO SLOW**  
(Subsample: Residents Who Think Guilderland Has Grown Too Slow)

WAYS RATE OF GROWTH HAS BEEN TOO SLOW	1999 Town Of Guilderland Mail Survey
Commercial Development	<b>69.0%</b>
Residential Development	<b>23.8%</b>
Taxes/Economics	<b>16.7%</b>
Crossgates Mall Area	<b>11.9%</b>
Leadership/Government	<b>7.1%</b>
Recreational Areas/YMCA	<b>9.5%</b>
Traffic/Roadways	<b>7.1%</b>
Other	<b>12.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	<b>(42)</b>

**In what way has the growth in Guilderland been too slow? (Question 6)**

**Note:** Column does not sum to 100% due to multiple responses. **Note:** The data from the phone survey is not presented, as only one respondent felt the rate of growth has been too slow.

PERCEPTION OF A CURRENT  
TOWN CENTER IN GUILDERLAND

PERCEPTION OF A CURRENT TOWN CENTER IN GUILDERLAND	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Guilderland Has a Town Center	32.6%	23.9%
Guilderland Does <b>Not</b> Have a Town Center	67.4%	76.1%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(92)	(2,837)

*In your opinion, do you think Guilderland has a town center? (Question 7)*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=3.72$   $p=.0537$  (Not Significant)**

PERCEIVED LOCATION OF CURRENT TOWN CENTER  
(Subsample: Residents Who Believe Guilderland Has a Town Center)

LOCATION OF TOWN CENTER	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	Mail Survey
Town Hall/McCormack's Corners Area	36.7%	34.3%
Crossgates Mall Area	16.7%	16.5%
Route 20 (Not Specific)	0.0%	13.6%
20 Mall Area	23.3%	12.8%
Guilderland Center Area (Near High School or Industrial Park)	20.0%	7.0%
Westmere/Stuyvesant Plaza/McKownville Area	3.3%	6.2%
Other	0.0%	4.8%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	*
<b>(N)</b>	(30)	(242)

*Where is the town center of Guilderland? (Question 8)*

\*Note: For mail survey, column does not sum to 100% due to multiple responses.

DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW TOWN CENTER

DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW TOWN CENTER	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	Mail Survey
Town Should Develop (An/Another) Area Which Would Serve as a Town Center	56.0%	39.3%
Town Should <b>Not</b> Develop (An/Another) Area Which Would Serve as a Town Center	44.0%	60.7%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(91)	(2,648)

*Do you think the town of Guilderland should develop (an / another) area  
which would serve as a town center? (Question 9)*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=10.3$   $p=.0013$  (Significant)**

ATTITUDES TOWARD DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW TOWN CENTER  
BY PERCEIVED EXISTENCE OF A CURRENT TOWN CENTER IN GUILDERLAND

DEVELOPMENT OF A NEW TOWN CENTER	Perceived Existence Of Town Center			
	Phone		Mail	
	Has A Town Center	Does Not Have A Town Center	Has A Town Center	Does Not Have A Town Center
Town Should Develop (An/Another) Area Which Would Serve as a Town Center	26.9%	69.0%	<b>24.9%</b>	<b>43.5%</b>
Town Should <b>Not</b> Develop (An/Another) Area Which Would Serve as a Town Center	73.1%	31.0%	<b>75.1%</b>	<b>56.5%</b>
<b>TOTAL % :</b>	100.0%	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(26)	(58)	<b>(590)</b>	<b>(2,021)</b>

PREFERRED LOCATION OF NEW TOWN CENTER  
(Subsample: Residents Who Believe The Town  
Should Develop a New Town Center)

PREFERRED LOCATION OF NEW TOWN CENTER	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Town Hall/McCormack's Corners Area	18.0%	<b>22.1%</b>
20 Mall Area	12.0%	<b>17.0%</b>
YMCA/Library Area	8.0%	<b>12.7%</b>
Route 20 (Not Specific)	0.0%	<b>10.9%</b>
Guilderland Center Area (Near High School or Industrial Park)	10.0%	<b>3.0%</b>
Crossgates Mall Area	6.0%	<b>2.4%</b>
Middle School/South on Route 155, Past Route 20	4.0%	<b>0.0%</b>
Other	4.0%	<b>12.7%</b>
No Opinion	42.0%	<b>28.2%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	<b>(50)</b>	<b>(330)</b>

*Where should this new town center be located? (Question 10)*

*Note: Columns do not sum to 100% due to multiple responses.*

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR  
RETAIL SHOPPING

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 Town Of Guilderland	
	PHONE SURVEY	Mail Survey
Too Much	33.0%	<b>35.7%</b>
Enough	58.0%	<b>55.7%</b>
Not Enough	9.0%	<b>8.6%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(100)	<b>(2,894)</b>

*Thinking about various types of development for businesses in the town of Guilderland,  
In your opinion, is there too much, enough or not enough retail shopping in the town? (Question 12)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=0.3$   $p=.8613$  (Not Significant)

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR COMMERCIAL OFFICE SPACE

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Much	16.5%	<b>15.0%</b>
Enough	72.5%	<b>64.3%</b>
Not Enough	11.0%	<b>20.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(91)	<b>(2,847)</b>

*Thinking about various types of development for businesses in the town of Guilderland,  
In your opinion, is there too much, enough or not enough commercial office space in the town? (Question 13)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=5.1$   $p=.0772$  (Not Significant)

AMOUNT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Much	12.2%	<b>11.6%</b>
Enough	65.3%	<b>61.7%</b>
Not Enough	22.4%	<b>26.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(98)	<b>(2,859)</b>

*Thinking about various types of development for businesses in the town of Guilderland,  
In your opinion, is there too much, enough or not enough industrial development in the town? (Question 11)*

---

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=0.9$  **p=.6418** (*Not Significant*)

---

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR BUSINESS  
SUMMARY TABLE: PHONE SURVEY

<b>Amount Of Development For Business</b>				
<b>Type Of Development</b>	<b>Too Much</b>	<b>Enough</b>	<b>Not Enough</b>	<b>(N)</b>
Retail Shopping	33.0%	58.0%	9.0%	(100)
Commercial Office Space	16.5%	72.5%	11.0%	(91)
Industrial Development	12.2%	65.3%	22.4%	(98)

*Note: Rows sum to 100%.*

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR BUSINESS  
SUMMARY TABLE

<b>MAIL SURVEY</b>				
<b>Type Of Development</b>	<b>Too Much</b>	<b>Enough</b>	<b>Not Enough</b>	<b>(N)</b>
Retail Shopping	35.7%	55.7%	8.6%	(2,894)
Commercial Office Space	15.0%	64.3%	20.7%	(2,847)
Industrial Development	11.6%	61.7%	26.7%	(2,859)

*Note: Rows sum to 100%.*

ENCOURAGEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR BUSINESS  
IN UNDEVELOPED AREAS OF TOWN

<b>ENCOURAGEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR BUSINESS</b>	<b>1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND</b>	
	<b>Phone Survey</b>	<b>Mail Survey</b>
Encourage	41.6%	<b>41.3%</b>
Discourage	58.4%	<b>58.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b> (N)	100.0% (89)	<b>100.0%</b> <b>(2,850)</b>

*Would you like to see the town of Guilderland encourage or discourage development for business in the undeveloped areas of the town? (Question 14)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=0.0$   $p=.9639$  (Not Significant)

*Note: Comments for question 15, "Where should this development be encouraged/discouraged?" begin on page 80.*

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT  
FOR SINGLE FAMILY HOUSING

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Many	16.7%	18.2%
Enough	70.8%	65.7%
Not Enough	12.5%	16.0%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(96)	(2,832)

*Next, thinking about various types of development for housing in the town of Guilderland,*

*In your opinion, are there too many, enough or not enough single family housing developments in the town? (Question 16)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=1.2$  **p=.5447** (Not Significant)

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT  
FOR APARTMENTS

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Many	17.8%	23.7%
Enough	75.6%	66.9%
Not Enough	6.7%	9.4%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(90)	(2,833)

*Next, thinking about various types of development for housing in the town of Guilderland,*

*In your opinion, are there too many, enough or not enough apartments in the town? (Question 19)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=3.0$  **p=.2228** (Not Significant)

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT  
FOR CONDOS AND TOWNHOUSES

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Many	17.0%	21.0%
Enough	69.3%	63.9%
Not Enough	13.6%	15.1%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(88)	(2,840)

*Next, thinking about various types of development for housing in the town of Guilderland,*

*In your opinion, are there too many, enough or not enough condos and townhouses in the town? (Question 18)*

---

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=1.1$  **p=.5635** (*Not Significant*)

---

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT  
FOR VILLAGE OR HAMLET STYLE HOUSES

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Many	2.4%	5.4%
Enough	54.8%	54.0%
Not Enough	42.9%	40.6%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(84)	(2,744)

*Next, thinking about various types of development for housing in the town of Guilderland,*

*In your opinion, are there too many, enough or not enough village or hamlet style housing in the town? (Question 20)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=1.5$   $p=.4705$  (Not Significant)

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT  
FOR SENIOR CITIZEN HOUSING

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Many	0.0%	3.2%
Enough	39.2%	38.8%
Not Enough	60.8%	58.0%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(74)	(2,785)

*Next, thinking about various types of development for housing in the town of Guilderland,*

*In your opinion, are there too many, enough or not enough senior citizen housing developments in the town? (Question 17)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=2.5$   $p=.2917$  (Not Significant)

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR HOUSING  
SUMMARY TABLE: PHONE SURVEY

Type Of Development	Amount Of Development For Housing			(N)
	Too Many	Enough	Not Enough	
Single Family Housing Developments	16.7%	70.8%	12.5%	(96)
Apartments	17.8%	75.6%	6.7%	(90)
Condos & Townhouses	17.0%	69.3%	13.6%	(88)
Village or Hamlet Style Neighborhoods	2.4%	54.8%	42.9%	(84)
Senior Citizen Housing Developments	0.0%	39.2%	60.8%	(74)

*Note: Rows sum to 100.0%.*

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR HOUSING  
SUMMARY TABLE: MAIL SURVEY

Type Of Development	Amount Of Development For Housing			(N)
	Too Many	Enough	Not Enough	
Single Family Housing Developments	18.2%	65.7%	16.0%	(2,832)
Apartments	23.7%	66.9%	9.4%	(2,833)
Condos & Townhouses	21.0%	63.9%	15.1%	(2,840)
Village or Hamlet	5.4%	54.0%	40.6%	(2,744)
Style Neighborhoods				
Senior Citizen Housing Developments	3.2%	38.8%	58.0%	(2,785)

*Note: Rows sum to 100.0%.*

ENCOURAGEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL HOUSING  
IN UNDEVELOPED AREAS OF TOWN

ENCOURAGEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL HOUSING	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Encourage	39.8%	<b>39.8%</b>
Discourage	60.2%	<b>60.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
(N)	(93)	<b>(2,771)</b>

*Would you like to see the town of Guilderland encourage or discourage more residential housing in the undeveloped areas of the town? (Question 21)*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=0.0$  p=.9913 (Not Significant)**

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR OUTDOOR  
RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Many	0.0%	<b>1.8%</b>
Enough	51.0%	<b>51.8%</b>
Not Enough	49.0%	<b>46.4%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
(N)	(96)	<b>(2,904)</b>

*Now, thinking about various types of development for recreation in the town of Guilderland,*

*In your opinion, are there too many, enough or not enough outdoor recreational facilities for ball fields, swimming and picnic areas in the town? (Question 23)*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=1.9$  p=.3941 (Not Significant)**

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR  
NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS AND PLAYGROUNDS

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	Phone Survey	Mail Survey
Too Many	0.0%	1.7%
Enough	39.8%	43.3%
Not Enough	60.2%	55.0%
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(93)	(2,888)

*Now, thinking about various types of development for recreation in the town of Guilderland,  
In your opinion, are there too many, enough or not enough neighborhood parks and playgrounds in the town? (Question 24)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=2.3$  **p=.3191** (Not Significant)

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR RECREATION  
SUMMARY TABLE: PHONE SURVEY

Type Of Development	Amount Of Development For Recreation			(N)
	Too Many	Enough	Not Enough	
Outdoor Recreational Facilities (for Ball Fields, Swimming and Picnic Areas)	0.0%	51.0%	49.0%	(96)
Neighborhood Parks and Playgrounds	0.0%	39.8%	60.2%	(93)

*Note: Rows sum to 100.0%.*

AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR RECREATION  
SUMMARY TABLE: MAIL SURVEY

TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT	AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR RECREATION			(N)
	TOO MANY	ENOUGH	NOT ENOUGH	
Outdoor Recreational Facilities (for Ball Fields, Swimming and Picnic Areas)	1.8%	51.8%	46.4%	(2,904)
Neighborhood Parks and Playgrounds	1.7%	43.3%	55.0%	(2,888)

*Note: Rows sum to 100.0%.*

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE:  
DEVELOPING A PLAN TO PROTECT TOWN DRINKING WATER

LEVEL OF PRIORITY	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High Priority	88.8%	<b>80.6%</b>
Moderate Priority	8.2%	<b>17.2%</b>
Low Priority	3.1%	<b>2.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
(N)	(98)	<b>(2,920)</b>

*Considering various priorities facing the town of Guilderland for the future, Do you think developing a plan to protect town drinking water in the town should be a high priority, a moderate priority or a low priority? (Question 28)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=5.6$  **p=.0602** (Not Significant)

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE:  
PRESERVING OPEN SPACES

LEVEL OF PRIORITY	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High Priority	53.5%	<b>64.3%</b>
Moderate Priority	35.4%	<b>28.8%</b>
Low Priority	11.1%	<b>7.0%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
(N)	(99)	<b>(2,949)</b>

*Do you think preserving open spaces in the town should be a high priority, a moderate priority or a low priority? (Question 32)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=5.5$  **p=.0644** (Not Significant)

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE:  
EXPANDING TOWN WATER AND SEWER LINES

LEVEL OF PRIORITY	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High Priority	52.3%	<b>40.1%</b>
Moderate Priority	35.2%	<b>41.7%</b>
Low Priority	12.5%	<b>18.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
(N)	(88)	<b>(2,855)</b>

*Considering various priorities facing the town of Guilderland for the future, Do you think expanding town water and sewer lines in the town should be a high priority, a moderate priority or a low priority? (Question 29)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=5.55$  **p=.0622** (Not Significant)

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE:  
EXPANDING MASS TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

LEVEL OF PRIORITY	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High Priority	46.3%	<b>41.0%</b>
Moderate Priority	36.8%	<b>38.2%</b>
Low Priority	16.8%	<b>20.8%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
(N)	(95)	<b>(2,901)</b>

*Considering various priorities facing the town of Guilderland for the future, Do you think expanding mass transportation services in the town should be a high priority, a moderate priority or a low priority? (Question 31)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=1.4$  **p=.5081** (Not Significant)

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE:  
INCREASING ROAD CAPACITY

LEVEL OF PRIORITY	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High Priority	44.1%	<b>36.3%</b>
Moderate Priority	32.3%	<b>36.5%</b>
Low Priority	23.7%	<b>27.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
(N)	(93)	<b>(2,877)</b>

*Considering various priorities facing the town of Guilderland for the future, Do you think increasing road capacity for cars and trucks in the town should be a high priority, a moderate priority or a low priority? (Question 30)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=2.4$  **p=.3065** (Not Significant)

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE:  
PUTTING IN MORE SIDEWALKS

LEVEL OF PRIORITY	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High Priority	37.9%	<b>32.1%</b>
Moderate Priority	33.7%	<b>35.7%</b>
Low Priority	28.4%	<b>32.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
(N)	(95)	<b>(2,892)</b>

*Considering various priorities facing the town of Guilderland for the future, Do you think putting in more sidewalks in the town should be a high priority, a moderate priority or a low priority? (Question 25)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=1.5$  **p=.4782** (Not Significant)

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE:  
PUTTING IN MORE BIKE PATHS

LEVEL OF PRIORITY	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High Priority	31.6%	<b>29.3%</b>
Moderate Priority	49.0%	<b>39.3%</b>
Low Priority	19.4%	<b>31.4%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(98)	<b>(2,880)</b>

*Considering various priorities facing the town of Guilderland for the future,  
Do you think putting in more bike paths in the town should be a high  
priority, a moderate priority or a low priority? (Question 26)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=6.8$   $p=.0335$  (Significant)

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE:  
PUTTING IN MORE PARK AND RIDE LOTS

Level Of Priority	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High Priority	20.8%	<b>18.4%</b>
Moderate Priority	35.4%	<b>37.7%</b>
Low Priority	43.8%	<b>44.0%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(96)	<b>(2,871)</b>

*Considering various priorities facing the town of Guilderland for the future,  
Do you think putting in more park and ride lots in the town should be a high  
priority, a moderate priority or a low priority? (Question 27)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=0.4$   $p=.8035$  (Not Significant)

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE  
SUMMARY TABLE: PHONE SURVEY

PRIORITIES	LEVEL OF PRIORITY			(N)
	HIGH PRIORITY	MODERATE PRIORITY	LOW PRIORITY	
Developing a Plan to Protect Town Drinking Water	88.8%	8.2%	3.1%	(98)
Preserving Open Spaces	53.5%	35.4%	11.1%	(99)
Expanding Town Water and Sewer Lines	52.3%	35.2%	12.5%	(88)
Expanding Mass Transportation Services	46.3%	36.8%	16.8%	(95)
Increasing Road Capacity for Cars and Trucks	44.1%	32.3%	23.7%	(93)
Putting in More Sidewalks	37.9%	33.7%	28.4%	(95)
Putting in More Bike Paths	31.6%	49.0%	19.4%	(98)
Putting in More Park and Ride Lots	20.8%	35.4%	43.8%	(96)

Note: Rows sum to 100.0%.

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE  
SUMMARY TABLE: MAIL SURVEY  
PRIORITY RATING SCORE

PRIORITIES	PRIORITY RATING SCORE	(N)
Developing a Plan to Protect Town Drinking Water	89	(2,920)
Preserving Open Spaces	79	(2,949)
Expanding Town Water and Sewer Lines	61	(2,855)
Expanding Mass Transportation Services	60	(2,901)
Increasing Road Capacity for Cars and Trucks	55	(2,877)
Putting in More Sidewalks	50	(2,892)
Putting in More Bike Paths	49	(2,880)
Putting in More Park and Ride Lots	37	(2,871)

*Note: Calculation of Priority Rating Score was as follows: The categorical responses were first transformed into numerical values on a scale of 0-100. The numerical values assigned to the categorical responses are: High Priority=100, Moderate Priority=50 and Low Priority=0. Shown is the average, or mean, for each priority factor.*

WILLINGNESS TO PAY AN INCREASE IN TAXES  
TO PROTECT OPEN SPACES

WILLINGNESS TO PAY AN INCREASE IN TAXES	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Willing	54.8%	<b>51.7%</b>
Not Willing	45.2%	<b>48.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(93)	<b>(2,863)</b>

*Would you be willing or not willing to pay a moderate increase in taxes to permanently protect open spaces in the town of Guilderland? (Question 33)*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=0.4$   $p=.5459$  (Not Significant)**

WILLINGNESS TO PAY AN INCREASE IN TAXES  
BY LEVEL OF PRIORITY OF PRESERVING OPEN SPACES

WILLINGNESS TO PAY AN INCREASE IN TAXES	LEVEL OF PRIORITY OF PRESERVING OPEN SPACES					
	PHONE SURVEY			MAIL SURVEY		
	HIGH PRIORITY	MODERATE PRIORITY	LOW PRIORITY	HIGH PRIORITY	MODERATE PRIORITY	LOW PRIORITY
Willing	68.0%	48.5%	10.0%	68.6%	25.8%	7.5%
Not Willing	32.0%	51.5%	90.0%	31.4%	74.2%	92.5%
<b>Total %:</b>	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(50)	(33)	(10)*	(1,817)	(823)	(200)

*\*Note: Subsample size is too small from which to draw reliable inferences.*

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

Household Composition	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Married/No Children	37.1%	<b>39.0%</b>
Not Married/No Children	25.8%	<b>22.0%</b>
Married with Children	27.8%	<b>34.0%</b>
Not Married/Children	9.3%	<b>5.0%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(97)	<b>(2,855)</b>

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=5.1$   $p=.1657$  (Not Significant)

MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Married	63.6%	<b>72.7%</b>
Not Married	36.4%	<b>27.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(99)	<b>(2,932)</b>

*Are you currently married or not married? (Question 39)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=4.0$   $p=.0459$  (Significant)

NUMBER OF ADULTS IN HOUSEHOLD

Number Of Adults In Household	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
One	24.5%	<b>22.6%</b>
Two	46.9%	<b>63.3%</b>
Three or More	28.6%	<b>14.1%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(98)	<b>(2,945)</b>

*Including yourself, how many adults, 18 years old or older currently live in your household? (Question 40)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=17.8$   $p=.0001$  (Significant)

NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD

NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
None	63.3%	<b>61.0%</b>
One	9.2%	<b>15.2%</b>
Two	20.4%	<b>17.0%</b>
Three or More	7.1%	<b>6.8%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(98)	<b>(2,881)</b>

*How many children under 18 years old currently live in your household? (Question 41)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=3.0$  **p=.3859** (Not Significant)

NUMBER OF CHILDREN ATTENDING  
GUILDERLAND SCHOOLS

NUMBER OF CHILDREN ATTENDING GUILDERLAND SCHOOLS	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
None	69.4%	<b>70.3%</b>
One	11.2%	<b>14.2%</b>
Two	14.3%	<b>11.7%</b>
Three or More	5.1%	<b>3.7%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(98)	<b>(2,832)</b>

*How many of your children attend Guilderland public schools? (Question 42)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=1.6$  **p=.6608** (Not Significant)

NUMBER OF CHILDREN ATTENDING GUILDERLAND SCHOOLS  
(Subsample: Households With Children)

NUMBER OF CHILDREN ATTENDING GUILDERLAND SCHOOLS	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
None	16.7%	<b>21.8%</b>
One	30.6%	<b>37.4%</b>
Two	38.9%	<b>30.9%</b>
Three or More	13.9%	<b>9.9%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(36)	<b>(1,074)</b>

*How many of your children attend Guilderland public schools? (Question 42)*

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=2.1$  **p=.5442** (Not Significant)

LEVEL OF EDUCATION

LEVEL OF EDUCATION	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
High School Graduate or Less	26.3%	<b>14.0%</b>
Some College or Associates Degree	26.3%	<b>25.6%</b>
Bachelors Degree	22.2%	<b>21.7%</b>
Graduate Study or Degree	25.3%	<b>38.6%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>	100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>	(99)	<b>(2,916)</b>

*What is the highest level of education you have completed? High school graduate or less, some college or associates degree, bachelors degree or graduate study or degree? (Question 43)*

---

**Significance Test:**  $\chi^2=14.5$   $p=.0023$  (*Significant*)

---

AGE OF RESPONDENT

AGE OF RESPONDENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
18 - 29	12.0%	3.3%
30 - 39	13.0%	17.7%
40 - 49	32.0%	27.8%
50 - 64	21.0%	29.6%
65 or Over	22.0%	21.6%
<b>TOTAL % :</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(100)	(2,854)
<b>MEAN:</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>MEDIAN:</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>RANGE:</b>	<b>18 to 89</b>	<b>20 to 98</b>

*What is your age? (Question 44) -- (If Refused/No Opinion, ask Question 45)  
In which of the following age groups do you belong? Are you 18-29 years old, 30-39, 40-49, 50-64 or 65 or over? (Question 45)*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=24.3$  p=.0000 (Significant)**

HOUSEHOLD INCOME

HOUSEHOLD INCOME	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Under \$25,000	3.6%	8.2%
\$25,000 - \$50,000	41.0%	24.8%
\$50,000 - \$75,000	18.1%	25.4%
\$75,000 - \$100,000	21.7%	18.9%
Over \$100,000	15.7%	22.6%
<b>TOTAL % :</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(83)	(2,718)

*Which category best describes the total annual household income for all persons living in your household? Under \$25,000, \$25,000-\$50,000, \$50,000-\$75,000, \$75,000-\$100,000, or over \$100,000? (Question 46)*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=14.1$  p=.0069 (Significant)**

GENDER OF RESPONDENT

GENDER OF RESPONDENT	1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND	
	PHONE SURVEY	MAIL SURVEY
Men	42.0%	50.2%
Women	58.0%	47.4%
Both Filled Out	N/A	2.4%
<b>TOTAL % :</b>	100.0%	100.0%
<b>(N)</b>	(100)	(2,906)

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=6.0$  p=.0499 (Significant)**

ZIP CODE

		<b>1999 TOWN OF GUILDERLAND</b>	
<b>ZIP CODE</b>		<b>PHONE SURVEY</b>	<b>MAIL SURVEY</b>
12009	- Altamont	23.0%	<b>13.4%</b>
12084	- Guilderland	18.0%	<b>6.9%</b>
12085	- Guilderland Center	3.0%	<b>0.9%</b>
12159	- Slingerlands	4.0%	<b>7.5%</b>
12186	- Voorheesville	0.0%	<b>2.9%</b>
12203	- Albany	27.0%	<b>34.2%</b>
12206	- Albany	0.0%	<b>0.1%</b>
12208	- Albany	0.0%	<b>0.1%</b>
12209	- Albany	1.0%	<b>0.0%</b>
12303	- Schenectady	23.0%	<b>31.9%</b>
12306	- Schenectady	1.0%	<b>2.2%</b>
<b>TOTAL %:</b>		100.0%	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>(N)</b>		(100)	<b>(2,926)</b>

*Finally, what is your zip code? (Question 47)?*

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=50.2$      $p=.0000$     (Significant)**

**PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE  
BY ZIP CODE**

(Source: Mail Survey)

		<b>PRIORITY RATING SCORE* (SCALE OF 0 TO 100)</b>			
<b>ZIP CODE</b>		<b>PLAN TO PROTECT DRINKING WATER</b>	<b>PRESERVING OPEN SPACES</b>	<b>EXPANDING WATER &amp; SEWER LINES</b>	<b>EXPANDING MASS TRANSPORTATION SERVICES</b>
<b>TOTAL TOWN RESIDENTS</b>		<b>89</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>60</b>
12203	- Albany	91	79	60	63
12303	- Schenectady	89	79	62	57
12009	- Altamont	86	79	62	64
12159	- Slingerlands	86	77	61	52
12084	- Guilderland	91	80	60	61
12186	- Voorheesville	89	79	64	57
12306	- Schenectady	93	73	66	50
Are differences statistically significant?		Yes	No	No	Yes
F		3.1	0.6	0.5	5.3
p		.0050	.7037	.7945	.0000

\*Note: Score is based on a scale of 0 to 100 where very important=100, somewhat important=50, and not important=0.

PRIORITIES FOR GUILDERLAND'S FUTURE BY ZIP CODE

(Source: Mail Survey)

(continued)

PRIORITY RATING SCORE (SCALE OF 0 TO 100)

ZIP CODE	INCREASING ROAD CAPACITY	PUTTING IN MORE SIDEWALKS	PUTTING IN MORE BIKE PATHS	PUTTING IN MORE PARK AND RIDE LOTS
<b>TOTAL TOWN RESIDENTS</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>37</b>
12203 - Albany	55	54	49	40
12303 - Schenectady	54	46	49	34
12009 - Altamont	53	47	48	39
12159 - Slingerlands	58	55	56	36
12084 - Guilderland	53	54	47	39
12186 - Voorheesville	58	40	48	38
12306 - Schenectady	49	38	47	29
Are differences statistically significant?	No	Yes	No	Yes
F	0.7	6.6	1.5	2.9
p	.6508	.0000	.1725	.0078

\*Note: Score is based on a scale of 0 to 100 where very important=100, somewhat important=50, and not important=0.

WILLING TO PAY AN INCREASE IN TAXES TO PRESERVE OPEN SPACES BY ZIP CODE

(Source: Mail Survey)

WILLING TO PAY AN INCREASE IN TAXES

ZIP CODE	WILLING	NOT WILLING	(N)
12009 - Altamont	57.2%	42.8%	(374)
12084 - Guilderland	62.7%	37.3%	(185)
12159 - Slingerlands	45.2%	54.8%	(210)
12186 - Voorheesville	44.7%	55.3%	(85)
12203 - Albany	51.0%	49.0%	(953)
12303 - Schenectady	51.7%	48.3%	(894)
12306 - Schenectady	42.0%	57.4%	(61)

Note: Rows sum to 100%.

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=20.8$   $p=.0020$  (Significant)**

ENCOURAGEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR BUSINESS

IN UNDEVELOPED AREAS OF TOWN BY ZIP CODE

(Source: Mail Survey)

DEVELOPMENT FOR BUSINESS

ZIP CODE	ENCOURAGE	DISCOURAGE	(N)
12009 - Altamont	34.5%	65.5%	(371)
12084 - Guilderland	36.6%	63.4%	(191)
12159 - Slingerlands	53.8%	46.2%	(210)
12186 - Voorheesville	41.3%	58.8%	(80)
12203 - Albany	37.5%	62.5%	(945)
12303 - Schenectady	45.8%	54.2%	(891)
12306 - Schenectady	52.5%	47.5%	(61)

Note: Rows sum to 100%.

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=38.6$  p=.0000 (Significant)**

**ENCOURAGEMENT OF DEVELOPMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL HOUSING  
IN UNDEVELOPED AREAS OF TOWN**

(Source: Mail Survey)

		<b>DEVELOPMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL HOUSING</b>		
<b>ZIP CODE</b>		<b>ENCOURAGE</b>	<b>DISCOURAGE</b>	<b>(N)</b>
12009	- Altamont	34.3%	65.7%	(367)
12084	- Guilderland	38.6%	61.4%	(184)
12159	- Slingerlands	40.3%	59.7%	(206)
12186	- Voorheesville	41.6%	58.4%	(77)
12203	- Albany	44.5%	55.5%	(919)
12303	- Schenectady	37.5%	62.5%	(863)
12306	- Schenectady	41.7%	58.3%	(60)

Note: Rows sum to 100%.

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=15.2$  p=.0190 (Significant)**

**SOURCE OF HOUSEHOLD WATER BY ZIP CODE**

(Source: Mail Survey)

		<b>SOURCE OF HOUSEHOLD WATER</b>			
<b>ZIP CODE</b>		<b>TOWN WATER</b>	<b>OWN WELL</b>	<b>BOTH</b>	<b>(N)</b>
12009	- Altamont	48.0%	47.5%	4.5%	(381)
12084	- Guilderland	85.1%	8.9%	5.9%	(202)
12159	- Slingerlands	98.6%	0.5%	0.9%	(218)
12186	- Voorheesville	83.5%	14.1%	2.4%	(85)
12203	- Albany	90.8%	0.9%	8.2%	(994)
12303	- Schenectady	56.2%	12.7%	31.1%	(932)
12306	- Schenectady	39.7%	39.7%	20.6%	(63)

Note: Rows sum to 100%.

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=963.2$  p=.0000 (Significant)**

**HOUSEHOLD SEWAGE BY ZIP CODE**

(Source: Mail Survey)

		<b>HOUSEHOLD SEWAGE</b>		
<b>ZIP CODE</b>		<b>TOWN SEWER</b>	<b>OWN SEPTIC</b>	<b>(N)</b>
12009	- Altamont	37.8%	62.2%	(381)
12084	- Guilderland	91.5%	8.5%	(201)
12159	- Slingerlands	82.6%	17.4%	(218)
12186	- Voorheesville	80.0%	20.0%	(85)
12203	- Albany	98.4%	1.6%	(994)
12303	- Schenectady	89.0%	11.0%	(930)
12306	- Schenectady	60.3%	39.7%	(63)

Note: Rows sum to 100%.

---

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=822.8$   $p=.0000$  (*Significant*)**

---

HOME OWNERSHIP  
BY ZIP CODE  
(Source: Mail Survey)

ZIP CODE	HOME OWNERSHIP		
	OWN	RENT	(N)
12009 - Altamont	96.9%	3.1%	(386)
12084 - Guilderland	78.6%	21.4%	(201)
12159 - Slingerlands	93.2%	6.8%	(219)
12186 - Voorheesville	98.8%	1.2%	(85)
12203 - Albany	86.7%	13.3%	(991)
12303 - Schenectady	98.6%	1.4%	(919)
12306 - Schenectady	96.7%	3.3%	(60)

Note: Rows sum to 100%.

**Significance Test:  $\chi^2=168.1$  p=.0000 (Significant)**

